



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/716,262	11/18/2003	Joseph L. Dvorak	7463-33	6508	
30448	7590 03/17/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
AKERMAN	SENTERFITT	DAO, M	DAO, MINH D		
P.O. BOX 3188 WEST PALM BEACH, FL 33402-3188			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			2682		
			DATE MAILED: 03/17/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summan	10/716,262	DVORAK, JOSEPH L.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	MINH D DAO	2682				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> </ul>		Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	······································				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Oros (US 1. 4,157,540).

Regarding claim 1. Oros teaches a wearable communication device, comprising: a belt having an integrated radio; and a buckling mechanism having mating portions on opposing ends of the belt, wherein the integrated radio is enabled upon the buckling of the mating portions (see figs. 1, 8, and 9; col. 3, lines 14-26).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

3. Claims 2,3, 8-13, 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being

unpatentable over Oros (US 4,157,540) in view of Olsen (US 4,847,818).

Regarding claim 2, Oros, as mentioned above, teaches the limitations of claim 1 but

fails to disclose that the integrated radio is selected from the group of devices

comprising a public safety radio, an iDEN transceiver, a dispatch radio, a trunked two-

way radio, a Bluetooth transceiver, a GPS receiver, a satellite phone, a cellular phone,

and a cordless phone. Olsen, in an analogous art, teaches wristwatch radiotelephone.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the

invention was made to modify the system of Oros so that would include a transceiver in

order to be able to perform two-way communication to allow a help-needed person to

notify and talk with the helping authority.

Regarding claim 3, reference Oros and Olsen once combined teaches the wearable

communication device of claim 1, wherein the device further comprises an embedded

antenna coupled to the integrated radio (see reference Olsen, col. 1, lines 10-20).

Regarding claim 9, reference Oros and Olsen once combined teaches the wearable

communication device of claim 1, wherein the belt can be worn around a waist, wrist, or

ankle or slung across the chest (see reference Oros, col. 3, lines 14-26).

Art Unit: 2682

Regarding claim 8, reference Oros and Olsen once combined teaches the wearable communication device of claim 5, wherein the device further comprises a plurality of removable modules comprising at least one among radio components and a battery (see fig1, power supply 18; fig. 8, timer means 16 and alerting means 15).

Regarding claim 10, reference Oros and Olsen once combined teaches wearable communication device of claim 1, wherein the integrated radio remains in at least one of an off mode and a battery saving mode when the buckling mechanism is unbuckled (see reference Oros, col. 3, lines 14-26).

Regarding claim 11, the claim has the limitations as that of claims 1 and 2, and therefore is interpreted and rejected for the same reason set forth in the rejections of claims 1 and 2.

Regarding claim 12, the claim has the limitations as that of claim 2, and therefore is interpreted and rejected for the same reason set forth in the rejection of claim 2.

Regarding claim 13, the claim has the limitations as that of claim 3, and therefore is interpreted and rejected for the same reason set forth in the rejection of claim 3.

Regarding claim 18, the claim has the limitations as that of claim 8, and therefore is interpreted and rejected for the same reason set forth in the rejection of claim 8.

Application/Control Number: 10/716,262

Art Unit: 2682

Regarding claim 19, the claim has the limitations as that of claim 9, and therefore is

Page 5

interpreted and rejected for the same reason set forth in the rejection of claim 9.

Regarding claim 20, the claim has the limitations as that of claim 10, and therefore is

interpreted and rejected for the same reason set forth in the rejection of claim 10.

4. Claims 4, 7,14,17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable

over Oros (US 4,157,540) in view of Leonard (US 2002/0176586).

Regarding claim 4, Oros, as mentioned above, teaches the limitations of claim 1 but

fails to disclose a remote speaker/microphone input jack. Leonard, in an analogous art,

teaches this limitation (see section [0023]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to

one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the

system of Oros so that it would include a speaker/microphone jack in order to allow one

to free-hand communicate with the other end of the communication.

Regarding claim 7, reference Oros and Leonard once combined teaches the wearable

communication device of claim 5, wherein the user interface control comprises at least

one among a volume control, a push-to-talk button, a speaker, and a microphone (see

reference Leonard, section [0023]).

Art Unit: 2682

Regarding claim 14, the claim has the limitations as that of claim 4, and therefore is interpreted and rejected for the same reason set forth in the rejection of claim 4.

Regarding claim 17, the claim has the limitations as that of claim 7, and therefore is interpreted and rejected for the same reason set forth in the rejection of claim 7.

5. Claims 5,6,15,16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oros (US 4,157,540) in view of Bush (US 2002/0187757).

Regarding claims 5,and 6, Oros, as mentioned above, teaches the limitations of claim 1 but fails to disclose the buckling mechanism further comprises at least one among a user interface control and a memory card slot. Bush, in an analogous art, teaches this limitation (see fig. 1 and section [0021]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the system of Oros so that it would include a memory card slot as suggested by Bush for the benefit of being able to replace or upgrade the memory when needed.

Regarding claims 15, and 16, the claims have the limitations as that of claim 5, and 6 respectively, and therefore are interpreted and rejected for the same reason set forth in the rejections of claims 5, and 6.

Application/Control Number: 10/716,262

Art Unit: 2682

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to MINH D DAO whose telephone number is 703-305-

5589. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, VIVIAN C CHIN can be reached on 703-308-6739. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Minh Dao 1<sup>Mor)</sup> Art Unit 2682 February 9, 2005

VIVIAN CHIN SUPERVISORY PATENT EVAN

TECHNOLUGY CENTER 2000

Page 7

2/18/05